JOURNAL OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH AND PSYCHOLOGY, 2025
VOL 14, NO. 5, PAGE 1 – 6

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Open Access

Neurobiological Foundations for Holistic Medical Education: Integrating Engagement Science with Transformative Healthcare Pedagogy

Julian Ungar-Sargon, MD PhD*

Borra College of Health Sciences, Dominican University IL, USA.

ABSTRACT

Contemporary medical education faces a profound crisis of engagement, characterized by widespread student disengagement, physician burnout, and a healthcare system that reduces patients to diagnostic categories rather than complex human beings requiring holistic care. This discursive article synthesizes emerging neuroscientific research on emotional engagement in learning, particularly the work of Mary Helen Immordino-Yang, with holistic medical education frameworks to propose a neurobiologically-informed transformation of healthcare pedagogy. Drawing from affective neuroscience, educational psychology, and integrative medicine scholarship, we argue that authentic medical education must transcend the traditional biomedical model's mechanistic approach and embrace the neurobiological reality that meaningful learning occurs through emotional engagement, cultural meaning-making, and transcendent thinking. This article examines how engagement neuroscience validates critiques of the Cartesian split in medicine, supports patient-centered pedagogical approaches, and provides empirical foundations for integrative healing paradigms. We conclude by proposing concrete curricular reforms that align medical education with the brain's natural learning processes while fostering the development of physicians capable of providing truly holistic care.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received Date: 07 August 2025
Accepted Date: 16 September 2025
Published Date: 25 September 2025

KEYWORDS

Medical education, Neuroscience, Emotional engagement, Holistic medicine, Pedagogy, Healthcare transformation.

Introduction

Medical education stands at a crossroads. Despite unprecedented technological advances and expanding medical knowledge, healthcare systems worldwide grapple with physician burnout, patient dissatisfaction, and a growing recognition that the traditional biomedical model fails to address the complexity of human illness and healing [1]. Simultaneously, educational neuroscience has revealed fundamental insights about how the human brain learns, develops, and creates meaning—insights that challenge core assumptions underlying contemporary medical pedagogy [2].

Mary Helen Immordino-Yang's groundbreaking research in affective neuroscience demonstrates that "emotional engagement activates the same brain systems that keep you alive" and that "without the appropriate emotions, individuals may have knowledge, but they likely won't be able to use it effectively when the situation requires" [3]. These findings possess profound implications for medical education, suggesting that the emotionally detached, purely analytical approach traditionally valorized in medical training may actually impede the development of competent, compassionate physicians.

This article synthesizes Immordino-Yang's engagement neuroscience with emerging scholarship on holistic medical education to propose a neurobiologically-informed transformation of healthcare pedagogy. We argue that authentic medical learning requires integration of cognitive, emotional, and somatic dimensions—an integration that challenges the Cartesian dualism still pervasive in medical education [4]. By examining how the brain naturally processes complex, meaningful information, we can redesign medical curricula to foster the development of physicians capable of seeing patients as whole persons rather than collections of symptoms.

The Neuroscience of Engagement: Foundations for Medical Learning

Immordino-Yang's research reveals that emotional engagement is not merely beneficial for learning—it is neurobiologically essential. Her studies demonstrate that "the emotional dimensions of knowledge allow people to call up memories and skills that are relevant to whatever task is at hand" [5]. This finding directly challenges the traditional medical education approach that emphasizes dispassionate objectivity and emotional detachment.

LeDoux's seminal work on the emotional brain provides crucial context for understanding why emotional engagement enhances medical learning [6]. The amygdala, traditionally understood as

Contact: Julian Ungar-Sargon, Borra College of Health Sciences, Dominican University IL, USA.

the brain's "fear center," actually serves as a relevance detector, tagging experiences as significant and worthy of detailed memory encoding [7]. When medical students encounter patient cases that activate emotional engagement, they create richer, more accessible memory networks that can be retrieved when facing similar clinical scenarios.

Damasio's research on somatic markers further illuminates this process [8]. His studies of patients with ventromedial prefrontal cortex damage revealed that individuals who could perform abstract reasoning tasks but lacked emotional responsiveness made catastrophically poor decisions in complex, real-world scenarios. This research suggests that medical decision-making—which invariably involves uncertainty, competing values, and human complexity—requires the integration of analytical and emotional processing systems.

Default Mode Network and Medical Reflection

Immordino-Yang's investigations into the brain's default mode network (DMN) provide another crucial foundation for understanding medical learning [9]. When people engage in what she terms "transcendent thinking"—reflection on abstract, systems-level, and ethical implications of complex information—they activate the same neural networks involved in self-awareness, moral reasoning, and identity formation [10].

Buckner and Carroll's comprehensive review of DMN function reveals that this network, active during rest and introspection, plays crucial roles in moral decision-making, future planning, and understanding others' mental states [11]. For medical education, this suggests that periods of reflection and meaning-making are not luxuries but neurobiological necessities for developing clinical wisdom.

Mary Helen's research specifically demonstrates that adolescents who engage in transcendent thinking about complex social scenarios show enhanced neural coordination between regions involved in abstract reasoning and bodily self-awareness [12]. This integration—precisely what holistic medical approaches advocate—appears to be the brain's natural response to meaningful, complex information.

The Embodied Nature of Medical Knowledge

The persistent influence of Cartesian dualism in medical education—treating mind and body, emotion and reason, as separate domains—contradicts fundamental insights from neuroscience about the embodied nature of cognition [13]. Immordino-Yang's research reveals that "when we are presented with complex information, recruiting the regions of the brain that are involved in body awareness and regulation is part of what enables us to develop a subjective sense that this information is relevant and meaningful to us and to the world" [14].

Shapiro's extensive work on embodied cognition demonstrates that abstract thinking consistently involves the reactivation of sensorimotor experiences [15]. For medical knowledge, this means that understanding disease processes, therapeutic interventions, and patient experiences inevitably draws upon bodily, emotional, and sensory memories. Medical educators who attempt to separate analytical reasoning from embodied experience work against the brain's natural learning mechanisms.

Varela, Thompson, and Rosch's influential work on enactive cognition provides a philosophical framework that aligns with these neuroscientific findings [16]. They argue that cognition emerges from the dynamic interaction between brain, body, and environment—a perspective that supports integrative medical approaches emphasizing the interconnection of biological, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions of health.

Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology of perception offers additional theoretical grounding for challenging Cartesian approaches in medical education [17]. His concept of "motor intentionality"—the idea that the body possesses its own form of knowledge—resonates with contemporary neuroscientific understanding of how procedural and emotional memory systems inform clinical judgment [18].

Svenaeus's application of phenomenological analysis to medical practice demonstrates how skilled clinicians develop what he terms "clinical gaze"—an embodied form of perception that integrates sensory observation, emotional attunement, and analytical reasoning [19]. This phenomenological competence cannot be developed through purely cognitive training but requires the kind of emotionally engaged, meaning-making experiences that Immordino-Yang's research validates.

Rethinking Educational Outcomes

Immordino-Yang's most radical insight challenges medical education's fundamental orientation: "The main aim of schooling in the current modern world is not learning, it's development. Learning happens, but learning is serving a bigger aim... How is the experience of learning this, whatever it is, changing what you're capable of thinking like, and being like into the future?" [20].

This development-centered perspective fundamentally reframes medical education objectives. Rather than focusing primarily on knowledge acquisition and skill demonstration, medical curricula should prioritize the cultivation of intellectual, emotional, and moral capacities necessary for providing holistic care. This shift aligns with calls for competency-based medical education but extends beyond technical competencies to encompass what we might term "wisdom competencies" [21].

Kegan's constructive-developmental theory provides a framework for understanding how medical students develop increasingly sophisticated ways of making meaning from their educational experiences [22]. His research suggests that advanced professional competence requires what he terms "self-transforming mind"—the ability to hold multiple perspectives simultaneously, tolerate ambiguity, and continuously reconstruct one's understanding in response to new experiences.

Immordino-Yang emphasizes that "as humans we are deeply cultural, deeply social thinkers, we aren't little automaton robots who deploy... we have feelings, we have beliefs, we have values, and we have skills, we have experiences we bring, we have cultural ways of knowing about things" [23]. This insight challenges medical education approaches that attempt to standardize learning experiences without attending to students' diverse cultural backgrounds and meaning-making systems.

Kleinman's anthropological work on medical education reveals how cultural assumptions about illness, healing, and professional identity profoundly shape how students interpret their educational experiences [24]. His research suggests

that effective medical pedagogy must explicitly engage with cultural diversity rather than assuming universal applicability of biomedical frameworks.

Kumagai and Lypson's scholarship on cultural humility in medical education provides practical guidance for implementing culturally responsive pedagogies [25]. Their work demonstrates how educational experiences that honor diverse perspectives and ways of knowing enhance both clinical competence and professional satisfaction.

Beyond Technical Rationality

Immordino-Yang's research on transcendent thinking—"the ability to think meaningfully about their present and future selves" and to consider "the broader picture of how they want to engage in the world"—offers crucial insights for developing clinical wisdom [26]. Her studies demonstrate that individuals who engage in transcendent thinking show increased sense of purpose, enhanced moral reasoning, and improved capacity for complex decision-making.

Schön's critique of "technical rationality" in professional education provides relevant context for understanding why transcendent thinking matters in medical training [27]. He argues that real-world professional problems are characterized by complexity, ambiguity, and competing values—qualities that cannot be addressed through algorithmic application of scientific knowledge alone. Instead, professionals must develop what he terms "reflection-in-action"—the ability to think critically about their practice while engaged in it.

Montgomery's analysis of medical decision-making supports this perspective, demonstrating that experienced clinicians integrate scientific evidence with narrative understanding, ethical reasoning, and intuitive judgment [28]. This integration requires the kind of transcendent thinking that Immordino-Yang's research validates as neurobiologically natural and developmentally crucial.

Moral Imagination in Medical Practice

Immordino-Yang's studies of social emotions like "admiration for virtue" and "compassion for psychological pain" reveal neural networks involved in moral reasoning and prosocial behavior[29]. Her research suggests that exposure to morally exemplary behavior activates brain systems associated with inspiration and moral motivation—findings with direct relevance for medical education.

Johnson's work on moral imagination provides theoretical framework for understanding how these neurobiological processes translate into ethical practice [30]. He argues that moral reasoning requires the capacity to envision alternative possibilities, empathize with diverse perspectives, and creatively apply ethical principles to novel situations. These capacities develop through engagement with complex narratives and morally challenging scenarios—precisely the kind of transcendent thinking experiences that Immordino-Yang advocates.

Charon's scholarship on narrative medicine demonstrates how literary engagement can cultivate moral imagination in medical students [31]. Her research shows that students who participate in narrative medicine programs develop enhanced empathy, improved communication skills, and stronger sense of professional purpose—outcomes that align with Immordino-Yang's findings about transcendent thinking.

Case-Based Learning and Emotional Engagement

Traditional case-based learning in medical education often emphasizes analytical problem-solving while minimizing emotional engagement [32]. However, Immordino-Yang's research suggests that cases designed to activate emotional investment create more durable learning and enhance clinical judgment. Effective medical cases should not merely present diagnostic puzzles but should engage students' capacity for transcendent thinking about the human dimensions of illness and healing.

McLellan's analysis of problem-based learning reveals similar insights [33]. He argues that cases that activate students' personal values, cultural perspectives, and emotional responses create more meaningful learning experiences than cases designed purely for analytical challenge. This approach aligns with narrative medicine pedagogies that emphasize the importance of story, meaning, and personal connection in medical learning.

Reflective Practice and Meaning-Making

Immordino-Yang's emphasis on the neurobiological importance of reflection suggests that medical curricula must create structured opportunities for students to process the meaning and significance of their learning experiences [34]. This goes beyond traditional clinical debriefing to encompass what we might term "existential reflection"—consideration of how medical experiences relate to students' developing professional identity and life purpose.

Dewey's educational philosophy provides historical precedent for this approach [35]. His concept of "reflective thinking" emphasizes the importance of connecting new experiences to existing knowledge and values, creating what he terms "funded experience"—learning that becomes integral to one's identity and worldview.

Levinson's research on physician development demonstrates how structured reflection enhances professional formation [36]. His longitudinal studies reveal that physicians who engage in regular reflective practice show greater career satisfaction, reduced burnout, and enhanced empathy. These outcomes align with Immordino-Yang's findings about the developmental benefits of transcendent thinking.

Integrative Learning Experiences

The neuroscientific understanding that emotional engagement activates brain systems involved in bodily awareness and regulation suggests that medical education should integrate multiple ways of knowing rather than privileging purely analytical approaches [37]. This integration might include contemplative practices, artistic expression, movement-based learning, and engagement with patients' lived experiences.

Contemplative pedagogies, informed by both neuroscientific research and wisdom traditions, offer promising approaches for cultivating the kind of integrated awareness that holistic medical practice requires [38]. Mindfulness-based medical education programs have demonstrated improvements in student well-being, empathy, and clinical skills—outcomes that align with engagement neuroscience predictions [39].

Implications for Clinical Training and Assessment

The current movement toward competency-based medical education, while addressing important limitations of time-based

training models, may inadvertently perpetuate reductionistic approaches that fragment learning into discrete, measurable components [40]. Immordino-Yang's research suggests that meaningful professional competence emerges from integrated development rather than accumulation of isolated skills.

Epstein's work on defining and assessing professional competence provides a more holistic framework [41]. He argues that competence involves not merely technical proficiency but also "the habitual and judicious use of communication, knowledge, technical skills, clinical reasoning, emotions, values, and reflection in daily practice for the benefit of the individual and community being served".

This definition aligns with engagement neuroscience insights about the integrated nature of meaningful learning and suggests assessment approaches that evaluate students' capacity for transcendent thinking, emotional engagement, and reflective practice alongside traditional clinical skills.

Mentorship and Relationship-Based Learning

Immordino-Yang's emphasis on the social and cultural dimensions of learning highlights the crucial importance of mentorship relationships in medical education [42]. Her research on intergenerational programs demonstrates how meaningful relationships between novices and experienced practitioners enhance purpose, wisdom, and professional development.

Levinson's analysis of mentorship in medical training reveals similar patterns [43]. He argues that effective mentoring relationships provide not merely technical guidance but also what he terms "developmental support"—assistance with meaning-making, identity formation, and professional socialization. These relationships create the kind of emotionally engaged learning contexts that neuroscience research validates as optimal for development.

Challenges and Resistance to Reform

Despite compelling neuroscientific evidence for engagement-based pedagogies, medical education institutions face significant barriers to implementing holistic approaches [44]. Accreditation requirements, standardized testing regimens, and institutional cultures that prioritize efficiency and measurable outcomes may resist pedagogies that emphasize development, reflection, and meaning-making.

Ludmerer's historical analysis of medical education reveals how economic pressures and bureaucratic requirements have increasingly constrained educational innovation [45]. His work suggests that meaningful reform requires not merely pedagogical changes but also structural modifications to support the kind of time-intensive, relationship-based learning that neuroscience research validates.

Implementation of neurobiologically-informed medical education requires faculty who understand engagement principles and can model the kind of integrated, reflective practice that holistic medicine advocates [46]. However, most medical school faculty received training in traditional biomedical paradigms and may lack familiarity with educational neuroscience, contemplative pedagogies, or holistic healing approaches.

Steinert's research on faculty development in medical education provides guidance for addressing these challenges [47]. Her work demonstrates that effective faculty development requires not merely technical training but also opportunities for personal reflection, meaning-making, and community building—precisely the kind of transcendent thinking experiences that Immordino-Yang advocates for students.

Future Directions: Toward Neurobiologically-Informed Medical Education

The integration of engagement neuroscience with medical education suggests several promising directions for curricular innovation. Medical schools might experiment with "meaning-making rounds" where students reflect on the existential dimensions of patient care, "wisdom circles" that connect novice and experienced practitioners, and "embodiment labs" that integrate movement, mindfulness, and clinical skill development [48].

These innovations require careful evaluation using assessment methods that align with their holistic objectives. Traditional metrics focused on knowledge retention and technical skill demonstration may be insufficient for evaluating the kind of integrated development that engagement neuroscience validates [49].

The application of engagement neuroscience to medical education opens numerous research questions requiring systematic investigation [50]. Longitudinal studies examining how different pedagogical approaches influence neural development, professional identity formation, and clinical competence could provide crucial evidence for educational reform efforts.

Additionally, research investigating cultural variations in engagement patterns, optimal methods for fostering transcendent thinking in diverse student populations, and assessment approaches for holistic competencies would strengthen the empirical foundation for neurobiologically-informed medical education.

The insights from engagement neuroscience have implications extending beyond individual medical schools to encompass health care policy, accreditation standards, and continuing medical education requirements [51]. If emotional engagement and meaning-making are neurobiologically essential for effective medical practice, then policies that constrain opportunities for reflection, relationship-building, and holistic patient care may inadvertently undermine healthcare quality.

Conclusion

Mary Helen Immordino-Yang's groundbreaking research on engagement neuroscience provides compelling scientific validation for holistic approaches to medical education that have long been advocated by reformers seeking to humanize healthcare. Her findings that "emotional engagement activates the same brain systems that keep you alive" and that meaningful learning requires integration of cognitive, emotional, and somatic processes challenge fundamental assumptions underlying traditional medical pedagogy.

The neurobiological evidence for transcendent thinking, the embodied nature of meaningful knowledge, and the developmental rather than merely informational goals of education all support educational approaches that treat medical students as whole persons requiring integrated formation rather than vessels for information transfer. These insights validate critiques of the Cartesian split in medicine

while providing empirical foundations for patient-centered, relationship-based, and holistically-oriented medical training.

However, implementing neurobiologically-informed medical education requires more than pedagogical innovation—it demands transformation of institutional cultures, assessment practices, and healthcare systems that currently constrain opportunities for the kind of meaningful engagement that optimal learning requires. Medical educators committed to this transformation must work simultaneously on multiple levels: developing innovative curricula, conducting rigorous research on educational outcomes, advocating for policy changes, and modeling the kind of integrated, reflective practice that they hope to cultivate in their students.

The ultimate goal of this transformation extends beyond improved medical education to encompass the development of healthcare practitioners capable of providing truly holistic care—physicians who understand that healing involves not merely technical intervention but also meaning-making, relationship, and attention to the full complexity of human experience. Such practitioners, grounded in both rigorous scientific knowledge and deep appreciation for the mystery and dignity of human life, offer hope for healthcare systems capable of addressing not merely disease but the full spectrum of human suffering and flourishing.

As we face mounting challenges in global health, physician burnout, and healthcare accessibility, the integration of engagement neuroscience with medical education offers a pathway toward sustainable transformation. By aligning medical training with the brain's natural learning processes and the deep human needs for meaning, connection, and transcendence, we can cultivate a generation of healthcare providers equipped not merely with technical competence but with the wisdom, compassion, and resilience necessary for healing in its fullest sense.

The time has come to move beyond the limitations of mechanistic medical education toward approaches that honor both scientific rigor and human wholeness. Immordino-Yang's research provides the neurobiological roadmap for this journey, revealing that the kind of integrated, emotionally-engaged, meaning-centered learning that holistic medical education advocates is not merely idealistic preference but neurobiological necessity. Medical educators who embrace these insights have the opportunity to transform not only how physicians are trained but how healthcare is conceptualized and practiced in the 21st century.

References

1. Shanafelt TD, Hasan O, Dyrbye LN, Sinsky C, Satele D, et al. Changes in Burnout and Satisfaction With Work-Life Balance Among Physicians and Comparison With the US Population Between 2011 and 2014. Mayo Clin Proc. 2015; 90(12): 1600-1613.
2. Immordino-Yang MH, Damasio A. We Feel, Therefore We Learn: The Relevance of Affective and Social Neuroscience to Education. Mind Brain Educ. 2007; 1(1): 3-10.
3. Immordino-Yang MH. Emotions, Learning, and the Brain: Exploring the Educational Implications of Affective Neuroscience. New York: Norton; 2015.
4. Ungar-Sargon J. Worn out Philosophical Ideas Still Pervade the Practice of Medicine: The Cartesian Split Lives On. Int J Phys Med Rehabil. 2024; 1(3): 1-10.
5. Immordino-Yang MH, McColl AD, amasio H, Damasio A. Neural correlates of admiration and compassion. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2009; 106(19): 8021-8026.
6. LeDoux J. The Emotional Brain: The Mysterious Underpinnings of Emotional Life. New York: Simon & Schuster; 1996.
7. Adolphs R. The neurobiology of social cognition. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2001; 11(2): 231-239.
8. Damasio A. Descartes' Error: Emotion, Reason, and the Human Brain. New York: Putnam; 1994.
9. Immordino-Yang MH, Christodoulou JA, Singh V. Rest is not idleness: implications of the brain's default mode for human development and education. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2012; 7(4): 352-364.
10. Immordino-Yang MH, Gotlieb R. Embodied brains, social minds, cultural meaning: integrating neuroscientific and educational research on social-affective development. Am Educ Res J. 2017; 54(1): 344S-367S.
11. Buckner RL, Carroll DC. Self-projection and the brain. Trends Cogn Sci. 2007; 11(2): 49-57.
12. Immordino-Yang MH, Darling-Hammond L, Krone CR. Nurturing Nature: How Brain Development Is Inherently Social and Emotional, and What This Means for Education. Educ Psychol. 2019; 54(3): 185-204.
13. Varela FJ, Thompson E, Rosch E. The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1991.
14. Immordino-Yang MH, Yang XF, Damasio H. Correlations between social-emotional feelings and anterior insula activity are independent of visceral states but influenced by culture. Front Hum Neurosci. 2014; 8: 728.
15. Shapiro L. Embodied Cognition. New York: Routledge; 2011.
16. Varela FJ, Thompson E, Rosch E. The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1991.
17. Merleau-Ponty M. Phenomenology of Perception. London: Routledge; 1962.
18. Dreyfus HL, Dreyfus SE. Mind over Machine: The Power of Human Intuition and Expertise in the Era of the Computer. New York: Free Press; 1986.
19. Svenaeus F. The phenomenology of health and illness. In: Toombs SK, ed. Handbook of Phenomenology and Medicine. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic; 2001: 87-108.
20. Immordino-Yang MH. It's Time to Change the Way We Teach (and Learn). Finding Mastery Podcast. 2022.
21. Frank JR, Mungroo R, Ahmad Y, Wang M, De Rossi S, Horsley T. Toward a definition of competency-based education in medicine: a systematic review of published definitions. Med Teach. 2010; 32(8): 631-637.
22. Kegan R. In Over Our Heads: The Mental Demands of Modern Life. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1994.
23. Immordino-Yang MH. It's Time to Change the Way We Teach (and Learn). Finding Mastery Podcast. 2022.
24. Kleinman A. The Illness Narratives: Suffering, Healing, and the Human Condition. New York: Basic Books; 1988.
25. Kumagai AK, Lypson ML. Beyond cultural competence: critical consciousness, social justice, and multicultural education. Acad Med. 2009; 84(6): 782-787.
26. Immordino-Yang MH. USC Rossier neuroscientist showing how students' emotional engagement is key to learning. USC Rossier Magazine. 2018.

27. Schön DA. The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action. New York: Basic Books; 1983.
28. Montgomery K. How Doctors Think: Clinical Judgment and the Practice of Medicine. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.
29. Immordino-Yang MH, McColl A, Damasio H, Damasio A. Neural correlates of admiration and compassion. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2009; 106(19): 8021-8026.
30. Johnson M. Moral Imagination: Implications of Cognitive Science for Ethics. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1993.
31. Charon R. Narrative Medicine: Honoring the Stories of Illness. New York: Oxford University Press; 2006.
32. Schmidt HG, Rotgans JI, Yew EH. The process of problem-based learning: what works and why. Med Educ. 2011; 45(8): 792-806.
33. McLellan H. Situated learning: multiple perspectives. In: McLellan H, ed. Situated Learning Perspectives. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Educational Technology Publications; 1996: 5-17.
34. Immordino-Yang MH, Singh V. Perspectives from social and affective neuroscience on the design of digital learning technologies. New Perspectives on Affect and Learning Technologies. 2011: 233-241.
35. Dewey J. Experience and Education. New York: Macmillan; 1938.
36. Levinson W, Roter D, Mullooly JP, Dull VT, Frankel RM. Physician-patient communication: the relationship with malpractice claims among primary care physicians and surgeons. JAMA. 1997; 277(7): 553-559.
37. Immordino-Yang MH. Emotion, sociality, and the brain's default mode network: insights for educational practice and policy. Policy Insights Behav Brain Sci. 2016; 3(2): 211-219.
38. Barbezat D, Bush M. Contemplative Practices in Higher Education: Powerful Methods to Transform Teaching and Learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. 2014.
39. Khoury B, Sharma M, Rush SE, Fournier C. Mindfulness-based stress reduction for healthy individuals: A meta-analysis. J Health Psychol. 2015; 20(6): 725-735.
40. Frank JR, Snell LS, Cate OT, Holmboe ES, Carraccio C, et al. Competency-based medical education: theory to practice. Med Teach. 2010; 32(8): 638-645.
41. Epstein RM, Hundert EM. Defining and assessing professional competence. JAMA. 2002; 287(2): 226-235.
42. Immordino-Yang MH. Dr. Mary Helen Immordino-Yang – Next Level Lab. Harvard Graduate School of Education. 2022.
43. Levinson W, Kaufman K, Clark B, Tolle SW. Mentors and role models for women in academic medicine. West J Med. 1991; 154(4): 423-426.
44. Cooke M, Irby DM, O'Brien BC. Educating Physicians: A Call for Reform of Medical School and Residency. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2010.
45. Ludmerer KM. Time to Heal: American Medical Education from the Turn of the Century to the Era of Managed Care. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1999.
46. Steinert Y, Mann K, Centeno A, Spencer J, Gelula M, et al. A systematic review of faculty development initiatives designed to improve teaching effectiveness in medical education: BEME Guide No. 8. Med Teach. 2006; 28(6): 497-526.
47. Steinert Y. Faculty Development in the Health Professions: A Focus on Research and Practice. Dordrecht: Springer. 2014.
48. Ungar-Sargon J. A Healing Space for Caregiver and Patient: A Novel Therapeutic Clinic Model Integrating Holistic Healing Principles. Med Clin Case Rep. 2025; 5(1): 1-11.
49. Miller GE. The assessment of clinical skills/competence/performance. Acad Med. 1990; 65(9): S63-67.
50. Immordino-Yang MH, Darling-Hammond L, Krone CR. Nurturing Nature: How Brain Development Is Inherently Social and Emotional, and What This Means for Education. Educ Psychol. 2019; 54(3): 185-204.
51. Ungar-Sargon J. Healthcare Reforms Within and Without. Am J Med Clin Sci. 2024; 9(5): 1-9.